



Approved Procedural Documents (APDs) Development and Management Policy

APDs include: Policies, Procedures, Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

This procedural document supersedes: CORP/COMM 1 v.7 – Approved Procedural Documents (APDs) - Development and Management Process.



Did you print this document yourself?

The Trust discourages the retention of hard copies of policies and can only guarantee that the policy on the Trust website is the most up-to-date version. **If, for exceptional reasons, you need to print a policy off, it is only valid for 24 hours.**

Executive Sponsor(s):	Zara Jones – Deputy Chief Executive
Policy lead/reviewer: (this version)	Fiona Dunn – Director Corporate Affairs
Date revised:	February 2024
Approved by (Committee/Group):	Trust Executive Group
Date of approval:	11 March 2024
Date issued:	April 2024
Next review date:	March 2027
Target audience:	All staff, Trust-wide

Amendment Form

Please record brief details of the changes made alongside the next version number. If the procedural document has been reviewed **without change**, this information will still need to be recorded although the version number will remain the same.

Version	Date Issued	Brief Summary of Changes	Policy lead
Version 8	March 2024	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated directorates/divisions • Removed APD policy Group • Refresh approval committees • Replaced author with policy lead • Updated with use of MONDAY.com 	F Dunn
Version 7	7 July 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated restructure terminology. • Updated Duties and Responsibilities. • Adjusted Policy Approval Committee and Groups. • Updated Equality and Diversity assessment principles and links to Ethics Committee. • Refreshed Monitoring Compliance principles. • Overdue review process described. • Implementation added to Dissemination section. • Updated format and use of Style function in MS Word on the template. 	R Dickinson
Version 6	February 2012	<p>Major changes made throughout, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title change • New APD Process Flow Chart – Appendix 2 • Format and style change and order of contents re-arranged. Always use ‘Align Left’ margins. • ‘Warning’ statement replaced by ‘Did you print this document yourself’? • New section ‘Training and Support’ added. • Monitoring Compliance section substantially revised. • Updated approval group list and locations of paper copy files. • APD template reviewed and updated in line with the above changes. 	APD Process Co-ordinator and APD Process Group
Version 5	February 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major changes made throughout - PLEASE READ IN FULL. 	Mandy Dalton

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title changed to: Development and Management of Procedural Documents within the Trust • Updated in line with the NHS Litigation Policy leadity guidance. • Reference made to the NHS Constitution • APDs referred to as 'procedural documents' • Numbering and order of contents changed for greater clarity. • Mental Capacity Act and Privacy and Dignity Policy to be considered and referred to when writing or revising procedural documents regarding patient care. • Appendix 1 - Procedural Document Development Checklist – title changed, updated and condensed onto one page. • Appendix 3 - List of Approval Groups updated. • New Appendix 5 - Allocation of Unique Reference Numbers for Procedural Documents. • New Appendix 6 - Procedural Document Format 	
--	--	---	--

Contents

APD Development and Management Process at a Glance.....	4
1 Introduction	6
2 Purpose	6
3 Duties and Responsibilities	7
4 Procedure for Writing a Procedural Document.....	10
5 Review and Revision Arrangements, Including Version Control	12
6 Dissemination and Implementation	14
7 Access to Procedural Documents	14
8 Document Control and Archiving Arrangements	14
9 Training and Support	15
10 Monitoring Compliance	15
11 Directorate/Division Specific Procedural Documents	15
12 Definitions.....	16
13 Equality Impact Assessment	16
14 Ethics, Capacity and Rights of Patients and Staff	17
15 Other Associated Trust Procedural Documents	17
16 References	17
Appendix 1 – APD Checklist	18
Appendix 2 – Approval Committees and Groups	19
Appendix 3 – Equality Impact Assessment Part 1 Initial Screening.....	22

Quick Guide to Writing a New Policy / Procedure



1 INTRODUCTION

An 'Approved Procedural Document' (APD) is a procedural document which has been approved by the relevant body within the organisation. APDs are developed to inform staff on how they must proceed to meet professional organisations' and the Trust's goals. They also form an important strand of the Trust's Governance framework. In order to provide safe and effective care, a robust mechanism for the management of APDs must be in place.

For the purpose of this document, Approved Procedural Documents (APDs) include: policies, procedures, guidelines and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). See definitions below:

Policy - a prudent course of action, a principle of action adopted by a government party, business or individual. In general, policy defines what an organisation expects to do whilst procedure and guidance define how the organisation wants to do it.

Procedure - a set of actions which is the official or accepted way of doing something. Reasons for deviation from the procedure must be recorded.

Guideline/Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) - a document setting out the process steps required for the preferred method and process of operation. Other methods are not prohibited but a reason for deviation from guidance must be fully justifiable and line management agreement sought in all cases of any doubt.

The APD process does not cover Trust Strategy documents. The Board of Directors is the approval body for key organisation strategies, in line with the Standing Orders and Standing Financial Instructions.

2 PURPOSE

The purpose of this document is to inform and support all staff who are involved in writing or reviewing a procedural document, describing how to develop and manage Corporate, Divisional and departmental (local) policies. It has been developed to unify the processes involved and to ensure that every member of staff throughout the Trust has easy access to consistent, up-to-date, relevant and evidence-based documents.

This document outlines:

- best practice guidelines for developing and writing procedural documents;
- the process to be followed in developing, approval, disseminating, implementing, reviewing and archiving procedural documents;
- the management and review of procedural documents;
- access to procedural documents.

3 DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 Board of Directors

The Board of Directors is responsible for the management and governance of the Trust. The Executive Directors are accountable for the management of the services they manage.

The Board of Directors is the approval body for key organisation strategies and policies, in line with the Standing Orders and Standing Financial Instructions.

3.2 Executive Directors

An Executive Director is required to sponsor the development of any new policy and procedure. The process of policy development and management is delegated to the Deputy Chief Executive who is accountable for ensuring that APDs are in place and accessible to staff.

Every policy will be sponsored by an Executive Director within the organisation. Sponsorship must be sought and agreed with the relevant Executive Director. Sponsorship for SOP's, guidelines and protocols can be a relevant senior manager within the divisional structure (see Appendix 2). The sponsor must be involved in the review of policies and agree to changes, extensions or making no change to a policy, along with monitoring compliance with this policy through the Trust Executive Group.

3.3 Policy Lead (author) of Approved Procedural Documents (APDs) –

Once sponsorship has been agreed, the policy lead is responsible for developing the procedural document in line with the requirements of this document (see section 4 – 'Procedure for Writing a Procedural Document' for details).

Before creating a procedural document; determine whether it is Trust-wide, Division specific or for multiple Divisions. The grid below clarifies the requirements of a new APD; follow the APD Development and Management Process flowchart at the front of this document and use the APD Template to create your procedural document:

Requirements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider and apply as necessary the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Mental Capacity Act, the Equality Analysis Policy (CORP/EMP 27) and the Privacy and Dignity Policy (PAT/PA 28).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For New policies contact the APD Process Co-Ordinator for a unique Policy number and to discuss the requirements of the policy, and confirm who the relevant committee for approval would be See Appendix 2.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carry out literature review demonstrating the checks for best practice/latest evidence.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consult and communicate with stakeholders and groups or committees relevant to the

subject matter.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete the APD Checklist (see Appendix 1) which is available on the Hive. The checklist must accompany the final draft copy of the APD and be sent to the APD Process Co-Ordinator. APDs will NOT be published without a completed and signed Checklist.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete the Equality Impact Assessment Part 1 Initial Screening form (see CORP/EMP 27) and include it as the final appendix to the APD.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtain approval from the relevant committee and send the approved document (word version) along with the completed and signed Checklist to the APD Coordinator requesting it to be put forward for publishing approval.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure implementation of the APD (includes identifying training requirements and raising awareness with appropriate staff groups etc.)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure there is a process in place for monitoring compliance with the APD.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The review and approval of APDs MUST be completed no later than the next review date. When new national or international guidance is received or newly published evidence demonstrates the need for change to current practices, the document must be revised immediately.

No specific format for 'guidance' or directorate SOP is stipulated in this policy but the format chosen must be appropriate to the subject matter and the intended audience.

3.4 Divisional and/or Directorate Senior Management Team

The Divisional and/or Directorate Senior Management Team are responsible for ensuring that:

- There is a process in place for the management and review of all Division/Directorate and department specific procedural documents whether they go through the Trusts approval route or not.

3.5 Line Managers

All line managers are responsible for ensuring that:

- Staff are made aware of the Trust's APDs at 'Corporate' and 'Local' induction – see CORP/EMP 29 – Statutory and Essential Training (SET) Policy;
- Staff receive appropriate training in order to comply with the Trust's APDs;
- Staff are compliant with the Trust's APDs;
- APDs are accessible to all staff;
- Staff are informed of new APDs and any revisions;
- Staff are aware of the location of the 'emergency access' paper copy files.

3.6 All Staff

All staff and volunteers working within the Trust are expected to comply with approved procedural documents to ensure their own safety and that of patients, colleagues, visitors and any other person who may be affected by their actions at work.

3.7 APD/Policy Approval Committees and Groups (subject expert review groups)

The Trust has a structured approach to the approval of APDs which is illustrated in Appendix 2. Each approval group has the overarching duty for monitoring, tracking and approving its allocated range of documents.

The duties of the individual approval committee are:

- Review of requested new APD to ensure it is required
- Review of policy prior to committee approval following appropriate consultation with the relevant stakeholders, by the policy lead; providing an appropriate level of critique on the principles of plain English to enable ease of use by staff.
- Ensure that the key steps have been taken with regard to the policy structure, consultation depth and any links to other relevant committees and work-streams within the Trust;
- Ensure the policies, before being submitted to the APD Coordinator meet the criteria of the Approved Procedural Document (APD) Checklist prior to publishing;
- Provide specialist knowledge to inform the policy approval process and ensure appropriate cross reference to other approved policy documents, in order to fulfil any regulatory or organisational requirements;
- The APD Coordinator will ensure the policy lead is informed when the policy is published so that the committee can ensure its appropriate implementation it and subsequent monitoring of compliance with it;
- The approval committee must ensure the policy lead is informed of any rejected policy and the reason for rejection. Ask policy lead to make necessary changes and re-present the policy at a future committee meeting for approval;
- Monitor compliance of review of all Trust-wide policies through tracking systems;
- Provide status reports to each of the Approval Groups and escalate concerns when necessary.

The group or committee approving the procedural document is responsible for:

- Ensuring completion, signature and compliance with the APD Checklist (see [Appendix 1](#)),
- Ensuring the content is compatible with the Trust's obligations under the Freedom of Information (FOI) Act 2000.
- Ensuring that adequate resources have been identified for implementation.

The Chair of each of the approval groups or committee is responsible for:

- Signing the checklist, as confirmation of approval, and forwarding a copy to the policy lead of the document (in WORD) and to the APD Process Co-ordinator, (paper or electronic completion is accepted);
- Ensuring formal, minuted approval. The minutes may be used as evidence of approval;
- Agreeing the withdrawal of any procedural document with the appropriate sponsor of the document and notifying the APD Process Co-ordinator.
- Ensuring "policy update and review" is a standard agenda item under the approval groups relevant meeting and included in the Terms of Reference for that group/committee.

3.8 APD Process Co-Ordinator

The APD Process Co-ordinator is responsible for:

- Coordinating the approved procedural document process;
- Advising and supporting staff on the APD process;
- APD update distribution;
- Maintaining/updating the APD database via MONDAY.com;
- Updating and maintaining the APDs on the policy website and removing any superseded APDs;
- Communicating monthly updates of new and revised APDs in DBTH buzz and other Trust bulletins and notifying Clinical Governance Leads;
- Archiving superseded APDs on the Trust's network (to be kept in line with appropriate information governance framework/timescales
- Providing approval committees/groups a status update on the policies "owned by that committee.

4 PROCEDURE FOR WRITING A PROCEDURAL DOCUMENT

4.1 Justification

The need for a new procedural document must be justified; linked with service priorities and must not duplicate or conflict with those already in existence. Policy leads must satisfy themselves that implementation is achievable within available or identified resources and demonstrate this on request. Sponsorship must be sought and agreed with the relevant director. The APD Coordinator should be contacted for a unique document reference number and to confirm which approval committee is appropriate for the policy approval.

4.2 Style and Format

Use the standard APD Template for policies and procedures which is available on the Policies HIVE page.

To enable all procedural documents to have a 'corporate' appearance, the document must be produced using 'Calibri' font, 12 point and use 'Align Text Left' margins. Policy leads must follow the Trust's 'House Style' when writing a procedural document. This is detailed in CORP/COMM 5 - Developing Information for Service Users and Visitors Policy and Guidelines.

All new and revised procedural documents must be developed using the APD Checklist at **Appendix 1** and written using the standard APD Template format. Headers and footers must be populated appropriately and updated with each version change as a suffix to the APD reference number. The main body text of the document must be written in a style which is concise and clear, using unambiguous terms and language.

4.2.1 Guidance/Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

No specific format for 'guidance' or divisional SOP is stipulated but the format chosen must be appropriate to the subject matter and the intended audience.

4.2.2 Abbreviations and Definitions

Abbreviations and definitions must only be used after they have been fully clarified. Explanation of terms used must be listed alphabetically under the 'Definitions' section of the template.

4.2.3 Associated Trust Procedural Documents

Where appropriate; any associated Trust procedural documents must be listed under the 'Associated Trust Procedural Documents' section of the template.

4.2.4 References

Any supporting references must be listed alphabetically, using the Harvard style, under the 'Reference' section of the template.

4.2.5 Cross-Referencing

Cross referencing to other APDs is encouraged when applicable. When cross-referencing another APD within a procedural document, the version number must not be used as that will change periodically.

4.3 Identifying and Communicating with Stakeholders

Whether writing or revising a procedural document, policy leads must identify and liaise with all stakeholders who will be included in the consultation process. This will include all areas/groups where the procedural document will have an impact e.g. heads of department, clinical management teams and specialist groups as well as external organisations etc.

4.4 Consultation

Policy leads must ensure that new and revised procedural documents undergo an appropriate review and consultation process. Draft procedural documents must be circulated widely, e.g. Management Teams, Divisional/Corporate Directors, representation of staff groups affected by the policy, specialist staff groups and any other identified stakeholders, giving clear deadlines for feedback and comments, to ensure that they are complete, correct and acceptable. Comments generated from this consultation must be considered by the policy lead responsible for developing the procedural document. The membership of the relevant policy approval committee will be consulted as a routine part of the policy approval process.

4.5 APD Approval Process

Following consultation, when the final draft has been agreed, the policy lead will complete and sign a copy of the APD Checklist and submit this with the procedural document to the relevant committee or group for approval/ratification. Examples of Approval groups are shown at **Appendix 2** (the full list is available on MONDAY.com)The approval of the procedural document

must be noted in the minutes of the meeting which may be used as evidence of approval. **NOTE:** an APD will not be published without the completed and signed APD Checklist. All patient policies will undergo an extra ratification stage at one of the clinical governance committees eg SAFE, CARING or EFFECTIVE according to the respective committees ToR.

4.6 Monitoring Compliance

The policy lead is responsible for completing the monitoring compliance section within the document under review. Evidence as set out in the monitoring compliance section must be agreed by any contributor prior to APD approval.

The policy lead must use the framework below to identify and detail the key issues within the policy which need to be monitored to ensure compliance.

What is being Monitored	Who will carry out the Monitoring	How often	How Reviewed/ Where Reported to
Example: List identified key issues relevant to this policy.....	Include title of person or group carrying out the monitoring ...	Include the frequency e.g. weekly, monthly, quarterly, annually etc...	Include how reviewed, where reported and who will address any shortfalls ...

5 REVIEW AND REVISION ARRANGEMENTS, INCLUDING VERSION CONTROL

5.1 Process for Reviewing an Approved Procedural Document

All APDs must be dated and include a review date. The review date is the date by which the APD must be reviewed, approved and in place by. The policy lead responsible for each procedural document will ensure the review is carried out. The 'review date' can be up to a maximum of three years from the approval date, with the exception of those APDs where there is a requirement for them to be reviewed annually. However, any changes in practice, legislation, national guidance, health and safety, risk issues etc., that affect the APD must be implemented and the APD reviewed immediately, irrespective of the next review date, using the full approval process.

Six months prior to the documents review date the policy lead responsible for the review will be notified via email from MONDAY.com system to remind them of the due date. A **FINAL REMINDER** will be sent to the policy lead two months prior to the due date if there has been no correspondence.

The policy lead is responsible for reviewing and sending the revised document to the Sponsor. When changes have been agreed, the policy needs to be sent to the relevant approval group or committee (see **Appendix 2**).

Following approval, the group will forward a copy of the signed APD Checklist to the APD Process Co-ordinator as confirmation of approval. The policy lead will send an electronic copy of the approved procedural document to the APD Process Co-ordinator for publishing on the Trust's Policy Website and for distribution to the emergency access policy file holders. **NOTE:** an APD will not be published without the completed and signed APD Checklist.

Reviewed, no changes needed - If, after consultation and review, no changes are required, this should be agreed by the Sponsor. The review dates and approval dates etc. must be included on the front of the document and 'revised without change' must be logged on the amendment form. **Note:** The document still needs approval and must be sent to the relevant group for approval, along with a completed APD Checklist. Once approved, the policy lead must send an electronic copy to the APD Process Co-ordinator for publishing on the Policy Website and for distribution.

Extension of Review Date

Occasionally the review of a policy may be delayed, e.g. awaiting receipt or implementation of National guidance, which will affect the review. In such circumstances, the policy lead must first obtain agreement from the sponsor to extend the review date and then write to the APD Process Co-ordinator giving the reasons and outcome of the agreement to extend the review date rather than allow the policy to lapse.

Overdue reviews & Follow-up

The chair of the relevant approval committee will receive a monthly tracking document (Policy Review and Compliance Report) of all pending and overdue policies listed on MONDAY.com via the APD Coordinator. This list should be discussed at the relevant committee meeting and noted in the committee minutes and action points noted to resolve the overdue APDs, including any escalation to the policy lead's line manager when initial actions have not resolved the completion of the APD review. The APD Coordinator will monitor and report on the overdue status, based on feedback provided from the relevant approval committee. The Chair of each approval committee or group will be required to escalate non-compliance to the review policy to the relevant Director for their intervention should the situation persist.

The Trust executive group will receive a report on a six-monthly basis as to the compliance status of policies against this process and discuss/ensure corrective action to improve compliance.

The Board committee will receive assurance to compliance with this policy via annual reports to the Boards Audit and Risk Committee.

5.2 Version Control

The APD Process Co-ordinator will allocate a Trust-wide unique reference number and version number for each APD to facilitate document control. Version numbers will be recorded on the policy and procedure database via MONDAY.com.

A summary of changes from one version to the next must be recorded in the procedural document 'Amendment Form'. If there are no changes then 'No changes' must also be recorded and the version number will remain the same.

6 DISSEMINATION & IMPLEMENTATION

6.1 Dissemination

The APD Process Co-ordinator is responsible for distributing details of new and revised APDs electronically, via the following mechanisms:

- Publish new and revised APDs on the Trust's Policy Website (Internet)
- DBTH buzz

Managers are responsible for ensuring dissemination of APD updates to their members of staff (see 3.6).

6.2 Implementation

The policy lead will be responsible for undertaking the appropriate implementation planning and delivery of the change. It is recommended that the policy lead describes their process and uses the Trust management structures to cascade specific changes. The dissemination methods of Buzz, Trust Intranet may provide opportunities for highlighting changes required. Spot-check processes are recommended when there are potential compliance issues or risks identified by approval committees and the policy lead.

7 ACCESS TO PROCEDURAL DOCUMENTS

The Trust's APDs are available on the Policy Website and can be accessed and viewed by clicking on the 'Policies/APDs' link on the top right of the home page on the Trust's website – see <https://www.dbth.nhs.uk/about-us/our-publications/publication-scheme/our-policies-and-procedures>. It is a requirement that all staff have access to them, either directly or via their line manager. Staff **must not** print paper copies of APDs for long-term retention and use.

Individual Trust-wide APDs **must not**, under any circumstances, be published on other local Intranet pages. However it is permissible to create an electronic link from other local Intranet pages to the Trust's policy Website. The 'local' Web page owner will be responsible for establishing a process to check the ongoing patency of the hyperlink.

8 DOCUMENT CONTROL AND ARCHIVING ARRANGEMENTS

8.1 Register/Library of APDs

The APD Process Co-ordinator will maintain a database of all Trust APDs via MONDAY.com. The active list of APDs are located on the Internet under their relevant sections. See

<https://www.dbth.nhs.uk/about-us/our-publications/publication-scheme/our-policies-and-procedures/finance/>.

8.2 Archiving Arrangements

Withdrawn and superseded procedural documents are retained electronically by the APD Process Co-ordinator. Some historical procedural documents may only be available in hard copy. In this case, a paper copy archive is also maintained by the APD Process Co-ordinator, along with the corresponding documentation.

8.3 Process of Retrieving Archived APDs

Archived approved procedural documents can be obtained on request from the APD Process Co-ordinator. These may be relevant to historical investigations.

9 TRAINING AND SUPPORT

No specific training is required, however, you can contact the APD Process Co-ordinator for support and advice.

10 MONITORING COMPLIANCE

The policy lead must complete an APD Checklist for all new and revised APDs, this must be presented along with the APD for approval.

What is being Monitored	Who will carry out the Monitoring	How often	How Reviewed/ Where Reported to
Completion of APD Checklist (signed by policy lead and chair of approval group)	Relevant approval group APD Process Co-ordinator	Prior to publishing approved APDs	If the policy lead has not followed the APD process, follow-up with policy lead and approval group.
Timely review of APD. (Email sent to the policy lead as a reminder to review the APD.)	APD Process Co-ordinator	Email sent 6 months prior to review. Final reminder at 2 months prior.	If the review date expires, add to the 'Policy Review and Compliance Report' for action by the relevant approval committee/group.

11 DIVISION SPECIFIC PROCEDURAL DOCUMENTS

All Division/Directorate specific (local) procedural documents must be consistent with the Trust's APD process. Each Division and/or Corporate Directorate must identify someone to take

responsibility for the management and review process and dissemination, and to link with the 'local' Website Administrator.

The following must be in place within each Division or Corporate Directorate:

- An index/database or spreadsheet which includes the name of the 'local' procedural document, reference number, the name of the policy lead, date implemented, date revised and the date of the next review;
- A 'bring forward' mechanism to facilitate the review;
- A robust dissemination and implementation process;
- A local system for archiving and retrieval.

'Local' and Division specific reference numbers must avoid any confusion with the Trust's APD unique reference numbers – see **Appendix 2**.

12 DEFINITIONS

APD – Approved Procedural Document

Expert Review Group – A specialist group within the governance and assurance framework of the Trust that can provide operational / specialist input to a developing policy. The Expert Review Group

EIA – Equality Impact Assessment

Policy Lead – Previously known as Policy Reviewer, Owners or Policy lead. The Lead will have been identified by the Executive Sponsor to develop or review a policy based on their knowledge of the subject seeking assistance where needed. The Policy Lead's duties can include the following according to their brief from the Policy Sponsor

SOP – Standard Operating Procedure

Strategy - A plan of action designed to achieve a long-term or overall aim

13 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Trust aims to design and implement services, policies and measures that meet the diverse needs of our service, population and workforce, ensuring that none are disadvantaged over others. Our objectives and responsibilities relating to equality and diversity are outlined within our equality schemes. When considering the needs and assessing the impact of a procedural document any discriminatory factors must be identified.

Each procedural document must be screened by the policy lead/manager responsible for its development, to consider whether there is an equality dimension or whether it is applicable to the Trust's duty to promote equality.

An Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) form must be completed for all new and revised procedural documents (see policy CORP/EMP 27). The purpose of the EIA is to minimise and if possible remove any disproportionate impact on employees on the grounds of race, sex, disability, age, sexual orientation or religious belief. [Note: please include completed form and insert as the final appendix to the document]. An Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) has been conducted on this procedural document in line with the principles of the Equality Analysis Policy (CORP/EMP 27)

and the Fair Treatment for All Policy (CORP/EMP 4). No detriment was identified - See Appendix 3.

14 ETHICS, CAPACITY AND RIGHTS OF PATIENTS AND STAFF

All procedural documents must be developed and reviewed in line with the statutory duty contained within the NHS Constitution to have regard for the rights and pledges for both staff and patient. (ref: The Handbook to the NHS Constitution. DoH Jan 2012).

When writing or reviewing a 'Patient Care' procedural document, please ensure you consider the Trust's Mental Capacity Act 2005 Policy and Guidance, including Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) – (see PAT/PA 19) and the Privacy and Dignity Policy (see policy PAT/PA 28) and refer to them, if relevant.

The Trust has an ethical duty towards patients and should consider if there is any impact or conflict between the principles of doing good, doing no harm, promoting patient autonomy and being just and fair to all. Where there is an 'Equality and Diversity' assessment that identifies a potential equality issue, patient capacity or choices that impact on patients welfare, and these cannot be adequately resolved or mitigated, the Ethics Committee should be contacted for advice as part of the consultation.

15 OTHER ASSOCIATED TRUST PROCEDURAL DOCUMENTS

- Equality Analysis Policy (CORP/EMP 27)
- Statutory and Essential Training (SET) Policy (CORP/EMP 29)
- Freedom of Information (FOI) Policy (CORP/ICT 15)
- Information Records Management – Code of Practice (CORP/ICT 14)
- Mental Capacity Act 2005 Policy and Guidance, including Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) (PAT/PA19)
- Developing Information for Service Users and Visitors Policy and Guidelines (CORP/COMM 5)
- Privacy and Dignity Policy (PAT/PA 28)
- Clinical Records Policy (CORP/REC 5)
- Fair Treatment for All (CORP/EMP 4)

16 REFERENCES

- Department of Health (2012) *The Handbook to the NHS Constitution (2012)* [online]: last accessed 1 May 2012 at http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_132961
- Great Britain (2000) *Freedom of Information Act 2000*. London, HMSO

APPENDIX 1 – APD CHECKLIST

This Checklist is a requirement of CORP/COMM 1 – Approved Procedural Documents (APDs) Development and Management Policy



APPROVED PROCEDURAL DOCUMENT (APD) CHECKLIST

Author **MUST** complete sections 1 to 3 of this checklist and attach it to the final draft copy of the new/revised procedural document/policy when submitting it for approval to the relevant approval group. Chair of the approval group **MUST** sign and date section 4 as confirmation of approval.

Procedural Document Title:	Reference No:																																																				
Criteria to consider when developing a procedural document/policy:																																																					
<p>1. STYLE, FORMAT AND STRUCTURE Indicate compliance with each aspect by marking a ✓ or X in the relevant box. Where X is marked, the reason must be given in the comments box.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 5%;"></th> <th style="width: 85%;"></th> <th style="width: 5%;">Yes ✓</th> <th style="width: 5%;">No X</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>a</td> <td>Document developed using the style and format of the Approved Procedural Document (APD) Template.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>b</td> <td>The front sheet is fully completed with the relevant details.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>c</td> <td>Definitions of terms used are provided.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>d</td> <td>Relevant duties of directors, managers, employees and other workers are described.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>e</td> <td>The content is clearly and concisely written.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>f</td> <td>The process for monitoring implementation and effectiveness is described within the monitoring table.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>g</td> <td>I have got evidence of monitoring compliance.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>h</td> <td>Other relevant associated procedural documents or information sources and references are included.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>i</td> <td>Completed Equality Impact Assessment Part 1 Initial Screening form is included as the final appendix in the policy. (See CORP/EMP 27)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>j</td> <td>The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (see policy PAT/PA 19) and the Privacy and Dignity Policy (see policy PAT/PA 28) has been considered.</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>COMMENTS:</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Continue on reverse, if necessary</p>			Yes ✓	No X	a	Document developed using the style and format of the Approved Procedural Document (APD) Template.			b	The front sheet is fully completed with the relevant details.			c	Definitions of terms used are provided.			d	Relevant duties of directors, managers, employees and other workers are described.			e	The content is clearly and concisely written.			f	The process for monitoring implementation and effectiveness is described within the monitoring table.			g	I have got evidence of monitoring compliance.			h	Other relevant associated procedural documents or information sources and references are included.			i	Completed Equality Impact Assessment Part 1 Initial Screening form is included as the final appendix in the policy. (See CORP/EMP 27)			j	The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (see policy PAT/PA 19) and the Privacy and Dignity Policy (see policy PAT/PA 28) has been considered.			<p>2. CONSULTATION List individuals and committees consulted: (Where there may be an impact on equality or patient choice, then the consultation should also include the Ethics Committee Chair.)</p> <hr/> <p>3. AUTHOR/REVIEWER APPROVAL: Author to sign and date below and forward, with the final draft copy of the procedural document/policy to the appropriate committee/group for approval. You may be asked to supply evidence to support the above.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 70%;">Name of Author: (please print name)</td> <td style="width: 30%;">Department:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Signature:</td> <td>Date:</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. COMMITTEE/GROUP APPROVAL: Chair of approval committee/group to sign and date below and forward to the APD Process Co-ordinator as confirmation of approval. NOTE: Approval of the document MUST be noted in the minutes of the meeting.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 70%;">Name of Chairperson: (please print name)</td> <td style="width: 30%;">Committee/Group:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Signature:</td> <td>Date:</td> </tr> </table>	Name of Author: (please print name)	Department:	Signature:	Date:	Name of Chairperson: (please print name)	Committee/Group:	Signature:	Date:
		Yes ✓	No X																																																		
a	Document developed using the style and format of the Approved Procedural Document (APD) Template.																																																				
b	The front sheet is fully completed with the relevant details.																																																				
c	Definitions of terms used are provided.																																																				
d	Relevant duties of directors, managers, employees and other workers are described.																																																				
e	The content is clearly and concisely written.																																																				
f	The process for monitoring implementation and effectiveness is described within the monitoring table.																																																				
g	I have got evidence of monitoring compliance.																																																				
h	Other relevant associated procedural documents or information sources and references are included.																																																				
i	Completed Equality Impact Assessment Part 1 Initial Screening form is included as the final appendix in the policy. (See CORP/EMP 27)																																																				
j	The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (see policy PAT/PA 19) and the Privacy and Dignity Policy (see policy PAT/PA 28) has been considered.																																																				
Name of Author: (please print name)	Department:																																																				
Signature:	Date:																																																				
Name of Chairperson: (please print name)	Committee/Group:																																																				
Signature:	Date:																																																				

Following Approval: Approval Group **MUST** send the completed checklist to the APD Process Co-ordinator at the Education Centre, Bassetlaw Hospital as confirmation of approval. Author **MUST** send an electronic copy of the approved document to the APD Process Co-ordinator at the Education Centre, Bassetlaw Hospital to enable the document to be published on the Trust's Internet/Intranet and for the historical copy to be archived. Please contact ext. 2737 if you have any queries.

APPENDIX 2 – APPROVAL COMMITTEES AND GROUPS

Sponsors of policies are set out below:

Type of Document	Sponsor
Corporate policies (any document covering more than one Directorate/ Division)	Executive Director
Procedures (any document covering more than one Division)	Executive Director
Guidelines/Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)	Divisional Management Teams and Corporate Senior Managers.

Following consultation, the policy lead will submit the final draft of the procedural document, along with a signed copy of the APD Checklist to the relevant group or committee for their approval. The policy lead will be asked to attend the meeting to present the policy. Approval must be noted in the minutes of the meeting and the APD Checklist signed off by the chair of the group.

The approval group administrator or chair will inform the policy lead that the document has been approved and return the signed APD Checklist to the APD Process Co-ordinator as confirmation of approval. If the document has not been approved, the administrator must notify the policy lead of the reasons.

NOTE: Some documents may need the approval of more than one approval group to ensure good stakeholder engagement which is essential for APD production. All patient policies will undergo an extra ratification stage at one of the clinical governance committees eg SAFE, CARING or EFFECTIVE according to the respective committees ToR.

Allocation of Unique Reference Number for Procedural Documents

Every APD is allocated a unique reference number which is determined by the subject and content of the document, in accordance with the list below.

APDs are divided into two streams: 'Corporate' policies (prefix CORP) and 'Patient Care' policies (prefix PAT). These are then divided into sections by specific subject and given the next available number. For example, CORP/COMM 1 is a 'Corporate' document found in the 'Communication/General' section and PAT/IC 1 is a 'Patient Care' document found in the 'Infection Control' section.

CORPORATE DOCUMENTS

KEY ORGANISATIONAL POLICIES

Overarching Trust policies would usually be approved by either the Trust Executive Group (TEG) and /or the Board of Directors. Any new such policies should be considered first by TEG before the

Board (via Trust Board Office) who then may delegate approval to one of its governance committees. Examples of key organisational and policies include:

- MAJAX – Major Incident Policy
- Access Policy
- Health and Safety Policy
- Organisation Change Policy
- Security Management Policy
- Trust Constitution
- Board of Directors Standing Orders, Standing Financial Instructions
- Fraud and Bribery Policy

Below these are other key document subjects with suggested/existing approval routes set out below. For exact approval committee then please contact APD Coordinator for detail from MONDAY.com.

Prefix	Document Subject	Most Appropriate Approval Committee/Group
CORP/HSFS	Health and Safety, Fire and Security (depending on content)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health and Safety Committee • Optical Radiation Safety Committee • Radiation Safety Committee • Decontamination and Water Safety Group
CORP/EMP	Employment and Work Life Balance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People & OD Policy Formulation group
CORP/ICT	Information Communication and Technology (ICT)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information Governance Committee
CORP/FIN	Finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit and Non-Clinical Risk Committee • Trust Executive Group (TEG)
CORP/RISK	Risk Management Emergency Planning (depending on Content)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust Executive Group (TEG)
CORP/FAC	Facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health and Safety Committee
CORP/PROC	Procurement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Medical Equipment Sub-Committee
CORP/REC	Records Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical Records Committee • Trust Effective Committee (for ratification)
CORP/COMM	Communication/General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust Effective Committee (for ratification)

PATIENT CARE DOCUMENTS

Prefix	Document Subject	Approval Committee
PAT/IC	Infection Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infection Prevention and Control Committee
PAT/T	Treatments/Investigations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trust Safe Committee (for ratification)

Prefix	Document Subject	Approval Committee
	(depending on content)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blood Transfusion Committee
PAT/EC	Emergency Care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust Safe Committee (for ratification)
PAT/MM	Medicine Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drug and Therapeutics Committee Trust Effective Committee (for ratification)
PAT/PS	Patient Safety	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust Safe Committee (for ratification) DBTH Strategic Safeguarding People Board
PAT/PA	Patient Administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust Effective Committee (for ratification)
Note: For any policies where there is a high profile issue - there may be an overriding need for Trust Executive Group and/or Board approval.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trust Board Trust Executive Group (TEG) Clinical Governance and Quality Committee Audit and Non-Clinical Risk Committee

Note: Some documents may need the approval of more than one approval group to ensure good stakeholder engagement which is essential for APD production.

'Local' APDs – Divisions and Departments

Prefix	Document Subject	Approval Committee
Specific to each Division/Directorate	Division/Directorate specific documents (depending on content)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant Divisional/Directorate Committee Cancer Management Group Strategic Safeguarding People Board Maternity Guideline Group

APPENDIX 3 – EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT PART 1 INITIAL SCREENING

Service/Function/Policy/Project/Strategy	Care Group/Executive Directorate and Department	Assessor (s)	New or Existing Service or Policy?	Date of Assessment
APDs – Development & Management Process	Deputy Chief Executive	Fiona Dunn	Existing Policy	Feb 2024
1) Who is responsible for this policy? Name of Care Group/Directorate: <i>Deputy Chief Executive</i>				
2) Describe the purpose of the service/function/policy/project/strategy? Who is it intended to benefit? What are the intended outcomes? <i>To support the policy leads in the development and review of APDs and create a unified process</i>				
3) Are there any associated objectives? Legislation, targets national expectation, standards: <i>Trust standard</i>				
4) What factors contribute or detract from achieving intended outcomes? – noncompliance within services				
5) Does the policy have an impact in terms of age, race, disability, gender, gender reassignment, sexual orientation, marriage/civil partnership, maternity/pregnancy and religion/belief? Details: [see Equality Impact Assessment Guidance] - <i>No</i>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If yes, please describe current or planned activities to address the impact [e.g. Monitoring, consultation] – 				
6) Is there any scope for new measures which would promote equality? [any actions to be taken] <i>No</i>				
7) Are any of the following groups adversely affected by the policy? <i>No</i>				
Protected Characteristics	Affected?	Impact		
a) Age	<i>No</i>			
b) Disability	<i>No</i>			
c) Gender	<i>No</i>			
d) Gender Reassignment	<i>No</i>			
e) Marriage/Civil Partnership	<i>No</i>			
f) Maternity/Pregnancy	<i>No</i>			
g) Race	<i>No</i>			
h) Religion/Belief	<i>No</i>			
i) Sexual Orientation	<i>No</i>			
8) Provide the Equality Rating of the service / function /policy / project / strategy – tick (✓) outcome box				
Outcome 1 ✓	Outcome 2	Outcome 3	Outcome 4	
<i>*If you have rated the policy as having an outcome of 2, 3 or 4, it is necessary to carry out a detailed assessment and complete a Detailed Equality Analysis form – see CORP/EMP 27</i>				
Date for next review: February 2027				
Checked by: <i>Fiona Dunn</i> Date: February 2024				